2. Describe the quality of the program as assessed by the strengths, productivity, and qualifications of the faculty in terms of SCH, majors, graduates, and scholarly/creative activity (refer to instructions in the WSU Program Review document for more information on completing this section).

Complete the table below and utilize data tables 1-7€u)4(ms)]T₤T€mplete)&t)-2(h)4(e)&t)(l)Ia tables 1

Learning Outcomes (most programs will have

pain control strategi

Learner Outcomes (e.g., capstone, licensing/certification exam pass-rates) by year, for the last three years						
Year 1	Ν	Name of Exam	Program Result	National Comparison		
1						

6. Report on the Program's goal (s) from the last review. List the goal (s), data that may have been collected to support the goal, and the outcome. Complete for each program if appropriate (refer to instructions in the WSU Program Review document for more information on completing this section).

(For Last 3 FYs)	Goal (s)	Assessment Data Analyzed	Outcome
Annual program goals	Develop and implement	Worked with accreditation	The LOM table in the entry level
are attached in the	Learning Outcomes Measures	requirements and program	program was developed based on
appendix. Listed in this	(LOM) Tables for the Entry	review materials to	the competency based education
table are the specific	Level and Degree completion	development and implement the	requirements of our accreditation
work related to	programs.	use of learning outcome	and the KBOR requirement to
accreditation, program		measures in the entry level and	assess general education. KBOR
review and new		degree completion programs.	Data collection began in Fall '11
initiatives.			and the first results from a
			graduating class was Spring '13.
			The LOM table for degree
			completion program was developed
			in Spring '12. The rubrics needed
			were finalized in Spring '13 and
			implemented in Fall '13. Very
			limited data collection has been
			completed due to small enrollments
			in these courses at this time.